Of sereral mental foramina, the largest one is situated helow the position of the first premolar.

The condyle holds the same relative height as in Cats. The back portion of the jaw, including the coronoid process, is proportionately not so broad as in the latter. The masseteric fossa is shallower at its lower part, and is not bounded by the everted hase, but is defined a little above the latter in a curvature sweeping from the condyle downward and forward to an angle ahout halfway below the position of the last molar tooth.

The molar teeth, of which there were five, completely occupied the space hack of the canine, as in some of the viverrine and musteline animals, there being no hiatus in the series. All the nolars were double-fanged, and none appear to have been of the tubercular kind.

The first premolar was the smallest, and the third premolar appears to hare heen the largest tooth of the molar scries. The fourth premolar was intermediate in size to the third and the last molar, which appears to have but little exceeded this and the second premolar.

The remains of the crown of the last molar indicate a bilobed tooth, apparently like the sectorial molar of Felis, and without a heel. The crown of the tooth in advance was provided with a well-developed heel, but the fore part is too much hroken to ascertain its form. The larger tooth in advance, the third premolar, retains its heel, which has a subtrenchant fore and aft border, and is bounded internally and cxternally by an oblique basal ridge.

For the animal indicated hy the fossil jaw, the name of Patriofelis ulta is proposed. The measurements of the specinien are as follow:
Estimated length of lower jaw when complete .............................. 6 inches.
Breadth of coronoid process at base............................................... 13 "
Height at condyle, and helow last molar tooth..... .......................... $1 \frac{13}{8}$ "
Height helow first premolar................. ............................................ $3^{\frac{1}{2}}$ "
Length of molar series............................................................. 3 ; third do., 9 lines; fourtlı do., $7 \frac{3}{4}$ lines; fifth do., 8 lines.

Prof. Marsh exhibited specimens of the remains of a bird allied to the Turkey, which he considered as belonging to an extinct species. These remains were said to have been found in the green sand of Monmouth Co., N. J., hut doubtless were from the post-tertiary above it. He proposed to name the species Meleagris altus.

Prof. Marsh also called attention to the tooth of a Peccary from the miocene of Shark River, New Jersey. It is a second molar from the left side of the lower jaw. He proposes to call the species to which it belonged Dicotylas antiquus.

March 15th.

## The President, Dr. Ruschenberger, in the Chair.

## Twenty members present.

The following paper was presented for publication:
"Cross Fertilization and Law of Sex in Euphorbia." By Thomas Meehan.

March 22d.
Dr Carson, Vice-President, in the Chair.
Thirty-six members present.
The following paper was presented for publication :
1870.]

# "Descriptions of Fossils collected during the U. S. Geol. Survey under the charge of Clarence King." By F. B. Meek. 

Prof. Leidy exhibited specimens of ichthyodorulites, upon which he made the following remarks:

Xiphactinus audax. The genus and species are founded on an interesting specimen belonging to the collection of the Smithsonian Institution, and obtained from the cretaceous formation of Kansas by Dr. Sternberg. From the want of symmetry in the base of the specimen, I suppose it to have been the pectoral spine of some huge siluroid fish.

It is a broad sabre-shaped weapon, in its present condition sixteen inches long, which is near its original length, if one may judge from the thinness and rounding of the border at the broken end. At its mitdle it is nearly two inches broad and almost seven and a half lines thick. It slightly narrows and becomes thiuner towards the outer end, and becomes thicker and more narrow approaching the base. An inch and a half from the latter it is thirteen and a half lines thick and seventeen lines wide; and the same distance from the outer end it is the fourth of an inch thick and twenty lines wide. The anterior couvex border is rouuded at first, but becomes subacute at its outer part. The posterior concave border is rather more obtuse.

A large groove commences back of the root, extending outwardly, becoming contracted and deeper, and opening to its bottom along the under part of the spine to its outer extremity. The bottom of the groove is irregularly pitted, and its upper surface formed by the overhanging posterior portion of the spine is transversely corrugated or striated. A similar but shallower groove commences in front of the root, and exteuding outwardly opens beneath the spine at the anterior half of its surface.

The upper surface of the spine is nearly flat and longitudinally striated, except at the outer part of the auterior border, where the striation is finer and curves forward.

The root of the spine turns up into a sort of hook•like process, broken at the end. It has been about two and a half inches iu height from a level with the inferior surface of the spine. The inner part of the root forms a vertical oblong couvexity, the lower half of which is occupied by a raised facet, apparently an articular surface, upon which the spine moved.

Prof. Agassiz, in his Poissons Fossiles, has described specimens of ichthyodorulites from the chalk of Lewes, England, which he referred to placoid fishes of the genns l'tychodus, "from the circumstance of their constant occurrence in the same localities " as teeth upon which the genus was first established. These rays are especially remarkable for their segmented character. "Instead of being composed of a single piece, as in other genera, they consist of flat rods, or rather broad, thick plates intimately united, but rendered distinct on the surface of the ray by longitudinal grooves." Without question as to the reference of these rays, I exhibit several similar specimens from the cretaceous formation of Kansas, submitted to my examination by the Smithsoniau Institution. The same collection of fossils, of which the rays were part, also contained many teeth of Ptychodus Mortoni, but I am uninformed whether they were found in association.

The specimens are probably two fragments of the same ray, but an intermediate piece is wanting, and they are imperfect at the opposite ends. They also appear to be somewhat compressed from pressure. As a whole the ray is flat at the sides, with a thickened, convex, posterior border, and an acute dentated or festooned anterior border. The dentate processes are composed of a denser tissue thau the rest of the ray, and are thickened in a line from the point to the base. The body of the ray is composed of longitudinally oblique bars ascending from the posterior border to the bases of the dentate processes in which they are merged. The longer and broader fragment is four and three-
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quarter inches long and three-quarters of an inch wide, gradually tapering to seven lines, and is provided with about seren and a half dentate processes. The other fragment is three and three-quarter inches long, seven lines wide below, and four lines at the broken apex, and is provided with nine dentate processes.
The segmented condition of the ray recalls to mind a singular fossil speci. men formerly described by me as the portion of a jaw of a fish to which the name of Edestus vorax (Jour. Acad. Nat. Sci. iii, 159, pl. 15) was given, and which also exhibits a segmented condition. This tossil, notwithstanding its jaw-like appearance furnished with shark-like teeth, I have always suspected was an ichthyodorulite (Proc. 1856, 301), and this suspicion is increased by an examination of the rays supposed to pertain to l'lychodus.

Asteracanthus siderius. The species is founded on a fragment of an ichthyodorulite, found on a stream near Glasgow, Tennessee. The specimen was submitted to my examination by Prof. J. M. Safford, through Prof. Hayden. It purports to be of subearboniferous age, but perhaps this is an error, for all the previous known fossils attribnted to the genus are of much later age. It looks as if in its complete condition it had approximated in size the dorsal spine of Asteracanthus ornatissimus, which is a foot and a half long. The fragment is from an intermediate position at the junction of the root and shaft, and is a little over three inches in length. Broken at the extremities, and posteriorly, so as to leave no remains of a groove, it is composed of solid porous bone, and is triangular in transverse section. The triangle of the shaft has a base seven lines thick; the sides are about three-fourths of an inch wide, and the apex is rounded. The root is compressed laterally to a greater degree than the bottom of the shaft, and in the fragment is an inch and a half wide.

The lateral surfaces of the shaft are closely covered with large maminillary tubercles, which have been worn off at the summits. These tubercles are of euamel-like hardness, brown and lustrous. Their sides are closely and longitudinally wrinkled; the fewer wrinkles near the apex becoming branched and more numerous approaching the base. The tubercles are situated in parallel longitudinal rows, having a slight obliquity. The intervals formed by the divergence of the longer rows near the root are occupied by shorter rows. About thirteen rows, including the short ones, may be counted on one side of the specimen at the verge of the root.

Prof. Leidy further observed that the two fossils presented this evening by Henry Green, of Elizabeth, Jo Daviess Co., Illinois, through Dr. Edward D. Kittoe, of Galena, were of considerable interest. They consist of a metacarpal bone of the Giant Sloth of Jefferson (Megalonyx Jeffersoni), and a last lower grinder of the extinct Ox, Bison antiquis. They were discovererl, in the search for lead, in a narrow crevice of the lead-bearing rocks, at the depth of 130 feet, in the vicinity of Galena. A number of other bones were fonnd at the same time, but, unfortunately for the interests of science, these are scattered or lost.

The museum of the Academy contains fossils which were fonnd in a similar position in the same locality some years back. Of these, some were presented by Dr. LeConte, who obtained them from Mr. Snyder of Galena; others were presented by iny friend Dr. Kittoe. They consist of remains of an extinct Peccary, Platygonus compressus, larger than the existing species; an extinct Raccoon, Procyon priscus, and a large insectivore, named in honor of Mr. Snyder, Anomodon Snyderi. These animals were probably cotemporaries of the former.

Mr. Thomas Mefhan said that no one who examined the prevailing theories concerning the formation of bark and wood with numerous living specimens before him, could be satisfied that these theories were in ail respects correct. He had made numerous observations during the past year, which satisfied him that at any rate we had much to learn. He hoped to present these observa-
tions to the members at some future time, but at present wished only to direct their attention to a portion of a trunk of Iucca alafolia, which he exhibited, the structure of whieh le suggested could not be accouuted for on any theory generally known. The general idea was that the sap of plants ascended through the system, and was claborated in the leaves, where the woody matter was formed, and afterwards descended, -in exogenous plants forming a regular coneentric layer over the last year's wood, and in endogenous structures returning by the interior, pushing these descending columns of wood through the mass of ecllular matter without order or system.

It would be seen that iu this endogenous Yucca the woody matter, if it ever descended at all, as our preseut belief demanded it should do, had descended in a very regular and beautiful manner, quite as systematic, in faet, as most exogens would do. The wood was arranged in annual rings, not cntirely concentric; but some tropical exogens did not have the woody annual layers always forming an cntire circle any more than in this. In this ease the annual layers of wood extended about two-thirds of the distance round the axis, and such layer was about the eighth of an inch thick. These annual layers were made visible by the bundles of fibres bcing packed more closely together towards the end of the season's growth, just as they are in exogens, from whieh, indeed, there was very little to distinguish this structure on a cursory cxamination but the absencc of the so-called medullary rays.

March 29th.

## The President, Dr. Ruschenberger, in the Chair.

## Thirty members present.

A resolution to amend Art. XI, Chapter 10 of the By-Laws by the omission of the word "gratuitous," was adopted after a third reading.

The following gentlemen were elected members:
Geo. Hewston, W. H. Eisenbrey and Alfred Tucker.
On favorable report of the committees the following papers were ordered to be published:

## Cross fertilization and the law of sex in EUPHORBIA. <br> BY THOMAS MEEHAN.

Mr. Charles Darwin's interesting observations on cross fertilization hare opened a new world for original discovery. The list of plants which seem to avoid self fertilization is already very large. I think Euphorbia may be added to the number. Certainly'this is the case with Euphorbia fulgons, Karw. ( $E$. jacquinaflora, Hook.) which I have watched very closely in my greenhonse this winter. Several days before the stamens burst through the involuere, which closely invests them, the pistil with its ovarium on the long pedicel has protruded itself beyond, exposed its stigmatie surfaces, and received the pollen from the neighboring flowers. The way in which the polleu scatters itself is curious. In most flowers a slight jar or a breath of wiud will waft the pollen to the stigmas, but I have not been able to notice any to leave the flowers in this way; for as soon as the anther cells burst the whole stamen falls from its filament like pedicel and either drops at once on the pistils of other flowers or seatters its polleu grains by the force of the fall.

This Euphorbia also furnishes another contribution to the thcory of sex which I have advanced. The plan on whieh the male and female organs are formed is evidently a common one; and the only reason why some flower
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